

Mail Stop Amendment Attorney Docket No. 25857

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Wen-kun Yang, et al.

Confirmation No. 4487

Serial No. 10/725,933

Art Unit: 2891

Filed: December 3, 2003

Examiner: David A. Zarneke

For: FAN OUT TYPE WAFER LEVEL PACKAGE STRUCTURE AND METHOD OF THE SAME

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313

Sir:

Submitted herewith for filing in the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is the following:

- (1) Transmittal Letter; and
- (2) Response to Restriction Requirement.

If an Extension of Time under 37 CFR §1.136 is required and has not been separately requested, please consider this Transmittal Letter as including a request for such Extension of Time and as a further authorization to charge any fee for such Extension of Time, as may be required by 37 CFR §1.17, to Deposit Account No. 14-0112. Also, please charge any fee deficiency, or credit any overpayment, in connection with this matter to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Respectfully submitted,

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

June 30, 2005

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC 1030 15th Street, N.W. 6th Floor

Washington, D.C. 20005

Tel: (202) 775-8383 Fax: (202) 775-8396 Gary M. Nath Reg. No. 26,965

Gregory B. Kang

Reg. No. 45,273

Derek Richmond

Reg. No. 45,771

Customer No. 20529



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

Wen-kun Yang, et al.

Confirmation No. 4487

Serial No. 10/725,933

Art Unit: 2891

Filed: December 3, 2003

Examiner: David A. Zarneke

For: FAN OUT TYPE WAFER LEVEL PACKAGE STRUCTURE AND METHOD OF

THE SAME

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is a full and complete response to the Office Action having a mailing date of June 7, 2005. The one month shortened statutory period to respond was set to expire July 7, 2005. In view of the following election and remarks, the Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner conduct a first substantive examination on the merits.

REMARKS

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 1-47 were subjected to a restriction requirement. By this Response to Restriction Requirement, an election without traverse is made.

RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT SUMMARY

The Examiner has required restriction of claims 1-47 to a single invention under 35 U.S.C.

Mail Stop Amendment Attorney Docket No. 25857

§121. Claims 1-47 were subjected to a Restriction Requirement as follows:

Group I: claims 1 - 29 are asserted to be drawn to a process; and

Group II: claims 30 - 47 are asserted to be drawn to a product.

Response

Applicants elect to continue prosecution of Group II, claims 30 - 47 without traverse.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner examine the claims of Group II pending in this application.

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner contact the undersigned attorney if it is believed that such contact will expedite the prosecution of the application.

In the event this paper is not timely filed, Applicants petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fee deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 14-0112.

Date: June <u>30</u>, 2005

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC 1030 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Sixth Floor Washington, DC 20005 (202) 775-8383 Respectfully submitted,

NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC

Gary Mr. Nath

Registration No. 26,965

Gregory B. Kang

Registration No. 45,273

Derek Richmond

Registration No. 45,771

Customer No. 20529